Past and present in neonatal exchange transfusion

The exchange transfusion (ET) contributed to reduce infant mortality and prevent *kernicterus* in severe neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. As we reach the 70 years anniversary with the use of ET and considering how infrequent is today this procedure in our nurseries. I consider a good opportunity to remember our experience with the geniality of this treatment. Consequently, the history of the ET through the umbilical vein has a strong connection with modern Neonatology. The use of the umbilical vein was an extraordinary milestone in the care of the newborn and became essential in cardiopulmonary resuscitation, respiratory distress syndrome, sepsis and many other neonatal diseases. Likewise its use allowed the administration of physiologic solution, blood, drugs, central venous pressure measurement and cardiac catheterization.

Dr. Louis Diamond was the first physician in utilizing the umbilical vein in the ET technique, in October 1946 at the Boston Lying-in Hospital, a procedure with enormous historical influence in Neonatology. The purpose of the ET is to reduce the levels of serum unconjugated bilirubin, potentially toxic to the brain, correct the anemia, replacing “sensitized” RBC by healthy ones, remove anti –Rh IGG antibodies and also maternal anti A and anti B from newborn blood, to reduce the product of hemolysis in erythroblastosis fetalis (EF), ABO incompatibility and GP6D deficiency. The removal of products of hemolysis during the disease process ameliorate the decrease in albumin binding capacity and reduces the levels of “free” bilirubin toxic to the brain. I remember that as a pediatric resident during my rotations through Maternidad Sarda Hospital, in Buenos Aires, we followed the climbing of serum bilirubin in mg/hour and we made the correlations with the Diamond’s curve of bilirubin. During the 1970 decade, using this curve we were able to prevent *kernicterus*, success also linked to the measurement of high maternal anti-Rh titer, history of severe hemolytic disease and other risk factors; and also levels of hemoglobin, bilirubin and reticulocytes in umbilical cord blood.

Diamond observed that 80-90% of the newborns with EF and levels of bilirubin ≥ 40 mg/dl developed *kernicterus*, 50% when they had levels ≥ 30 mg/dl and there were no cases of *kernicterus* among 200 studied newborns whose serum bilirubin were < 20 mg/dl. With the ET and the use of the Diamond’s curve, pediatricians and neonatologists in the whole world, observed the disappearance of *kernicterus* for over 20 years. Without doubt that phototherapy and the anti-D gammaglobulins to Rh negative mothers were also contributor factors.

Unfortunately, several countries begun to have new cases in 1991. In USA there are several factors incriminated for this “backward step” and the resurgence of *kernicterus*. In my judgement there were many errors such as the critic of the “vigintophobia”, or phobia of the 20 mg/dl, the ignorance of all the research and experience of Dr. Diamond, the ignorance of all research published over the toxicity of bilirubin, the failure to recognize the risk factors, the “shorter” hospital stay after birth, health plans and insurance companies that did not cover the cost of hospitalization and ignorance of correct breast feeding practices. In addition we had a new generation of medical residents and pediatricians not familiarized with erythroblastosis and much less in recognizing the “dangers “of severe hyperbilirubinemia. If all of these were not enough, the Guidelines of the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) in 1994 recommended the ET in low risk newborns with serum bilirubin levels ≥ 30 mg/dl.

In a recent revision of the technique, equipment and precautions of the ET we did not observed a substantial difference compared with the one described by Diamond in 1950. Today we use a disposable ET tray that practically contains all the needed instruments, catheters, Luer-Lok syringes, “4-way” stopcocks key, extension tubing sets and a waste blood bag (almost identical to the one described by Diamond in the original technique). One difference is the Blood Warmer for donor blood we use in our hospital; in many hospitals the Blood Bank provides the donor blood already warmed.

In the 60’s and 70’s decades, the ET was a very common treatment in our maternities and NICU’s, two or three ET’s per night was the usual practice. Then with the discovery of the anti-D gammaglobulins, the use of phototherapy and a better prenatal care, we observed the progressive disappearance of the ET from our nurseries. The statistics of the Good Samaritan NICU Hospital in Cincinnati, Ohio, has shown 32 ET per week in the 1960’s, an average of 200 per year in the 1970’s and at present we do 1 or 2 per year. As a result very few pediatric residents and fellows
Another factor which contributed to the decrease in the number of ET has been the administration of intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIG). The AAP Guidelines on Hyperbilirubinemia in 2004 recommended the administration of IVIG in erythroblastosis (selective cases) if the total serum bilirubin (TSB) is rising despite intensive phototherapy or the TSB level is within 2-3 mg/dl of the exchange level. Even though the treatment could be effective by blocking antibodies receptors in RBC’s, ameliorating hemolysis and bilirubin production, the results have not been universally positive. Two recent publications, in Ankara, Turkey in 2011 and Rio de Janeiro, Brasil in 2013, have shown that the administration of IVIG was not effective in reducing the need for ET in EF and ABO incompatibility. In my personal experience with ET I remember that in many opportunities like in newborns with cephalohematoma or G6PD deficiency, the IVIG was not effective in avoiding an ET. There are not randomized controlled trials where the randomization method is clear, comparing the efficacy of ET versus IVIG. The initial enthusiasm for IVIG could be due to its simplicity of ordering and administering it, compared with the relative complexity of the ET and specially due to the obvious lack of experience by our current neonatologists.

Therefore, I don’t believe, the ET as a treatment will disappear from our services and becomes obsolete.

The tradition of “see one ET, do one, and teach one” has been lost. In my generation unfortunately there were very few randomized control trials in Neonatology and Evidence Based Medicine did not exist; yet generations of residents, physicians and nurses were able to share the “pearls and pitfalls” of the ET that in our country saved the lives of thousands of newborns. Many of these pearls and pitfalls were never published and they only remain in our memory. Any pediatric resident who was lucky to work or rotate through Maternidad Sarda or Hospital de Niños Ricardo Gutierrez will be able to recognize easily these facts.

Today, even though the technique of the ET is easy to teach, the details of the procedure are many and the lack of skills by the Neonatology team can lead to serious complications for the newborn. This reality is extended to all hospital levels including the departments of Nursing, Obstetrics, Radiology, Laboratory, Hematology, Blood Bank and others. The need for an ET today, produces a “total chaos” in our NICU’s. This chaotic situation results from our ignorance of not knowing, for example, how to initiate an ET, where is the equipment that we will need and how are the connections between the keys, the waste blood bag, the donor blood and the infant. Likewise, from the “stress” we have knowing the nurse who is going to help us has never seen an ET. This will increase the risk of complications associated to the procedure.

Fortunately the etiology of severe hyperbilirubinemia in 2015 is very much different than in 1968 when severe Rh isoimmunization was predominant. Thus, mortality and morbidity from ET prior to 1990 was much higher and after 1990 the most common complications were: thrombocytopenia in 44%, hypocalcemia in 29% and metabolic acidosis in 24%, easily corrected with effective treatments. Mortality from ET today is 1%. We recommend the use of a simulation lab with mock trials similar to the ones we perform in Neonatal Resuscitation Program, to know and practice the connections between the key, blood donor and patient. A poster with photographs of the keys and connections can also facilitate the learning of the technique. These aspects were described in detail in Neo Reviews and also in Proneo, Update Program in Neonatology, which are very useful for the on call pediatric resident and neonatologist in preparing for an ET. Recently in 2013, the St Louis Children’s Hospital in Missouri, published two instructional videos: Catheterization of the umbilical vein and Technique of the ET.

Finally, I insist that is indefectible the ET be performed by a neonatologist with enough experience in the technique and possible complications. Only then, we will be able to achieve a safe treatment.
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