Algorithm for the diagnosis of patients with neurodevelopmental disorders and suspicion of a genetic syndrome
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ABSTRACT

The wide range of chromosome aberrations seen in neurodevelopmental disorders may not always be characterized by means of a chromosome analysis. The objective of this study was to determine the genetic etiology of these disorders in patients with congenital neurological conditions and clinical suspicion of a genetic disorder using a clinical and molecular testing algorithm. Among 111 studied children, 71 showed submicroscopic chromosome aberrations associated with microdeletion/microduplication syndromes: DiGeorge (22 cases), Prader-Willi (26 cases), Angelman (2 cases), Williams-Beuren (17 cases), Smith-Magenis (1 case), Miller-Dieker (1 case), and cri du chat syndrome (1 case). Additionally, a de novo trisomy 17p12p11.2 due to an unbalanced insertion into 5p13.1 was identified in a 3-year-old child. In most cases, the use of a clinical method together with molecular techniques, such as fluorescence in situ hybridization, has allowed to make an accurate diagnosis in patients and/or families with neurodevelopmental disorders.
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INTRODUCTION

Neurodevelopmental disorders (NDD) are defined as the delayed development of motor function, cognitive skills or language or combined deficits in these areas before 5 years of age.1 It has been estimated that genetic causes account for half of all cases2 and that chromosome aberrations (CA) due to submicroscopic rearrangements represent 5-10% in this group.3 However, causative factors are greatly heterogeneous, which hinders the possibility of establishing an etiologic diagnosis, which is only possible in 50-70% of all cases, whereas the rest are considered non-specific. Notwithstanding this, a study has reported that only 19.9% of patients receive a specific genetic diagnosis.4,5

Molecular cytogenetic techniques have been implemented in Cuba since 2009 and they have become an invaluable tool for the etiologic diagnosis of NDD, with the detection of rearrangements smaller than 5-10 Mb in patients without a definite prior diagnosis. In our setting, the conditions studied by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) include syndromes caused by genomic imbalances at the chromosome level, such as deletions, duplications, and other rearrangements.

In addition, high-resolution (HR) chromosome techniques have enabled, through chromosome elongation, the detection of cryptic aberrations in unexpected genome locations. The phenotypic impact of CA is usually associated with the type, origin, and size of the aberration, the role of genes involved, and the interaction with other genetic and environmental modulating factors. The common thread that runs through clinical manifestations is NDD of varying severity, together with weight deficit, dysmorphisms and/or major birth defects.

OBJECTIVE

To determine the genetic etiology of NDD in patients with congenital neurological conditions and clinical suspicion of a genetic disorder using a clinical and molecular testing algorithm.
METHODS

Design: This was a retrospective, cross-sectional study in 120 patients referred to the National Center of Medical Genetics of Havana and conducted by clinical geneticists in the 2010-2017 period. Given the clinical characteristics of patients, they were suspected of microdeletion/ microduplication syndrome (MMS).

Cytogenetic analysis
Peripheral blood culture samples were used to obtain HR chromosome preparations (> 550 bands) based on the standard protocols described in The AGT Cytogenetics Laboratory Manual.6

Molecular cytogenetics
FISH tests were done using probes (Abbott/Vysis, USA) depending on the patient’s clinical characteristics that led to suspicion of a specific MMS. In an additional case, a more complex FISH test was done to characterize a derivative chromosome 5.7

Ethical considerations
Parents or legal guardians signed an informed consent for the study of these patients. The Ethics Committee of the National Center of Medical Genetics approved this study.

RESULTS
In total, 95% of study patients were younger than 13 years old (111 cases). Table 1 shows a summary of the results found in 111 patients with NDD. The submicroscopic aberration causing the NDD was identified in 71 of the 111 studied patients.

The following CA were detected: DiGeorge (22 cases), Prader-Willi (26 cases), Angelman (2 cases), Williams-Beuren (17 cases), Smith-Magenis (1 case), Miller-Dieker (1 case), and cri du chat syndrome (1 case). Additionally, a de novo trisomy 17p12p11.2 due to an unbalanced insertion into 5p13.1 was identified in a 3-year-old child after the HR chromosome analysis revealed a derivative chromosome 5.

DISCUSSION
In high-income countries, array-based comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) is the first-line tool for the diagnosis of patients with NDD. Other less expensive techniques include multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification and may also be useful for the diagnosis of MMS. In countries like Cuba, this technology is not available; for this reason, clinical diagnosis, including an interview with patients and/or their parents or legal guardians, plays a critical role as part of the path towards an accurate diagnosis. In addition, the use of HR chromosome techniques together with GTG banding and FISH provide complementary results for patient clinical analysis and allow to develop an algorithm for the diagnosis of our patients with NDD (Figure 1).

In the case series described in this article, the implementation of this algorithm led to the accurate diagnosis of 64% of patients based mainly on a correct clinical characterization. However, this is sometimes difficult because a specific syndrome may display a greatly variable phenotype. For example, in our series, heart diseases were observed in 87% of DiGeorge syndrome patients, specifically ventricular septal defect, patent truncus arteriosus, and tetralogy of Fallot. Similar results have been reported in the bibliography, with 31-80% of cases showing heart diseases.8,9

The second most common clinical manifestation observed in our patients were facial dysmorphisms. According to the bibliography, the distinctive facies in DiGeorge syndrome includes long face, flattened malar region, narrow palpebral fissure, ptosis, tubular nose. In our series, facial dysmorphisms were observed in 78% of patients. In addition, thymus hypoplasia and/or immune deficiency were reported in 64% of patients. Intellectual disability was observed only in 50% of our patients. In general, this is consistent with what has been reported in the bibliography.8,9 However, some patients with DiGeorge syndrome may have small, atypical 22q11.2 deletions that may only be detected using aCGH.10,11

Clinical analysis may also be more complex in the case of Prader-Willi syndrome; actually, in our patients, it was confirmed in only 50% of suspected cases. Since 70% of these cases were caused by a deletion in the 15q11-q13 region, two situations are suggested: 1. Some of our patients without confirmation had a maternal uniparental disomy as the cause of the syndrome and was not recognized using the FISH technique. 2. Probably, some of these patients had a condition other than Prader-Willi syndrome, such as uniparental disomy of chromosome 14,12 12q subtelomere deletions,13 or Xq27-qter disomy.14 These events cannot be detected by HR chromosome or FISH techniques when the probe
of the critical 15q11-q13 region is used. It is also correct to note that the critical region of Prader-Willi may have distal, atypical deletions which cannot be detected with the probes used here.

We believe that the algorithm proposed in this study was valid in spite of the study limitations, which failed to make an accurate diagnosis in 26% of our patients due to the unavailability of the adequate technology. The algorithm helped to diagnose, in most cases, the genetic cause that had led to the NDD and also facilitated the possibility of offering good-quality genetic counseling and relevant information for their future descendants.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The use of the proposed working algorithm helped to make an accurate diagnosis of patients and/or families with NDD and clinical suspicion of a genetic disorder.
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**Table 1. Clinical suspicion, applied probes, number of studied cases, positive cases, and clinical characteristics of patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical suspicion</th>
<th>FISH probes</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Positive cases (%)</th>
<th>Associated clinical characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Velo-cardio-facial syndrome</td>
<td>LSI TUPLE1/ARSA</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22 (71)</td>
<td>Congenital heart diseases, palate defects, immune deficiency, NDD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSI NP-25/ARSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2 cases were inherited from the mother, prenatally)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams-Beuren syndrome</td>
<td>LSI ELN/D7S486, D7S522</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17 (94)</td>
<td>Elfin facies, congenital heart disease and delayed psychomotor development, visual-spatial involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prader-Willi syndrome</td>
<td>P15 (D15Z1)/LSI SRNPN/PML CEP15 (D15Z1)/LSI D15S10/PML</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26 (50)</td>
<td>Hypotonia, hyperphagia, hypogonadism, mental retardation, short stature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelman syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (67)</td>
<td>Delayed psychomotor development, paroxysmal bursts of laughter, ataxia, puppet-like movements of the arms, seizures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith-Magenis syndrome</td>
<td>LSI SMS/RARA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>Failure to thrive, brachydactyly, brachycephaly, hypotonia, mental retardation, self-harming behavior, short flat head, prominent forehead.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller-Dieker syndrome</td>
<td>LSI LIS1/RARA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>Agenesis or hypoplasia of the corpus callosum, severe mental impairment with hypotonia, seizures, spasticity, failure to thrive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cri du chat</em> syndrome</td>
<td>LSI D5S23,D5S721/EGR1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>Low birth weight, delayed growth, cry that sounds like that of a cat, mental retardation, hypotonia, hypertelorism, microcephalus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific clinical characteristics*</td>
<td>Microdissection technique described in 7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>Delayed psychomotor development and dysmorphic signs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
<td>71 (64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Patient in whom a derivative chromosome 5 was found through HR chromosome analysis.

FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization; NDD: neurodevelopmental disorder.
NDD: neurodevelopmental disorder; MMS: microdeletion/microduplication syndrome; aCGH: microarray technique; DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid; FISH: fluorescence in situ hybridization.


