Assessment of C-reactive protein and procalcitonin levels to predict infection and mortality in burn children
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ABSTRACT
C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT) levels may distinguish between a systemic inflammatory response and an infection in burn children.

Objectives. To establish the operative capability of CRP and PCT to diagnose infections and mortality.

Methods. Burn patients admitted to the hospital with clinical suspicion of an infection were included. CRP and PCT were measured and their operative diagnostic capabilities were calculated.

Results. Forty-eight patients (p) were included. Their median age was 49 months old (r: 17-86). The median burned surface area was 40% (r: 30-48%); 28 p (58%) had type AB and type B burn wounds. Infection was confirmed in 32 p (66.7%); the most common infection was burn-related sepsis (24 p, 75%), followed by burn wound infection (6 p, 19%). Eight patients (17%) died. It was not possible to establish CRP sensitivity and specificity because it was high in all patients, regardless of mortality, survival or the presence of infection. In relation to infections, PCT had a 90.6% sensitivity (95% confidence interval [CI]: 75.8-96.8%), a 18.8% specificity (95% CI: 6.6-43%), a 69% positive predictive value (PPV) and a 50% negative predictive value (NPV). In relation to 30-day mortality, sensitivity was 100% (95% CI: 67.6-100%), specificity 15% (95% CI: 7.1-29.1%), PPV 19% (95% CI: 10-33.3%) and NPV 100% (95% CI: 61-100%).

Conclusions. In pediatric burn patients, neither CRP nor PCT showed an adequate operative capability to detect an infection or a fatal outcome.
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INTRODUCTION
Infections are the main cause of death in burn patients. The overall incidence of infections is approximately 60%, being sepsis and burn wound infections the most common ones.1

In spite of advances in relation to the management of burn patients, infections continue to be the main cause of morbidity and mortality in these patients due to the disruption of the skin barrier and the compromise of the immune system, which are common complications in hospitalized patients with thermal injuries.2-4

Considering that the timely diagnosis and treatment of the infection are associated with a better clinical course, it is very important to have early markers. In these patients, the duration of treatment is not clearly defined; therefore, having a follow-up parameter of the inflammatory response might be potentially useful for treatment decisions.5-7

Quantitative C-reactive protein (CRP) is an inflammatory response marker, and when its plasma level is high, it is associated with the presence and progression of certain infections, but there are few studies that have been conducted in burn children.5,6

Other acute-phase reactant proposed to diagnose sepsis is procalcitonin (PCT). PCT levels increase 6-12 hours of the initial bacterial infection and decrease once the infection is controlled. This marker has been used to specifically distinguish between a bacterial infection and other causes of inflammatory response.

Based on the above mentioned information, the practical use of these markers may diminish empiric broad-spectrum antibiotic use, shorten antibiotic therapy duration, and reduce the development of antimicrobial resistance and health care costs. However, bibliographic evidence on the use of these markers to identify and/or diagnose infections specifically in pediatric burn patients is scarce. Therefore, we considered
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OBJECTIVES
To assess the operative capability of CRP
and PCT to detect an infection in the first seven
days following a burn injury and to predict the
occurrence of death within 30 days of admission
in acutely burn children from the community
hospitalized with clinical suspicion of infection.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
1) Design: prospective and descriptive study.
The study was approved by the hospital’s
Teaching Committee. In all cases, the informed
consent was obtained from the child’s parents or
legal tutors.

2) Population
2.1. Inclusion criteria: Patients (p) older than 1
month old and younger than 18 years old
from the community admitted to the Burn
Unit between December 2011 and December
2013, with no history of hospitalization or
prior antibiotic therapy, who had suffered an
acute burn injury and with clinical suspicion
of infection in the first week after having
suffered burn injuries.

2.2. Exclusion criteria: Patients admitted
to the Burn Unit for other reasons (e.g.,
postoperative patients, severe drug-induced
skin disorders) or burn patients with more
than one week of progression and/or
receiving antibiotics at the time of admission
to the Burn Unit and/or with a clinically
or microbiologically documented infection
were excluded.

3) Study procedures:
3.1. Patient inclusion: All patients who met
eligibility criteria, treated in the study period
were included. Clinical suspicion of infection
was estimated by a doctor from the Plastic
Surgery and Burn Unit. It was considered
present if clinical and/or laboratory changes
indicative of organ failure and/or local
changes in the burn wound were observed.
If this was the case, the physician would
request the corresponding culture tests,
blood collection and empiric antibiotic
administration until bacteriologic results
were available. In all cases, CRP and PCT
tests were conducted at the time of inclusion
into the study when infection was suspected.

3.2 Data collection: A patient follow-up card
was developed to collect the following
variables: age, burn injury type, burned
surface area, Garces’ index, infection and
microorganism type, length of stay, and fatal
outcome.

3.3 CRP measurement: The CRP value was
measured using the particle-enhanced
immunoturbidimetric method (Roche/
Hitachi-Cobas system). Values ≤5 mg/L
were considered normal.

3.4 PCT measurement: PCT was measured
using the Vidas Biomerieux immunoassay
system. Values ≤0.5 ng/mL were considered
normal.

3.5 Variable definition:
1) Age: in months old.
2) Burn wound type: superficial or A,
intermediate or AB, and deep or B.
3) Burned surface area: percentage of body
surface area.
4) Garces’ index: this a severity and mortality
prediction index calculated as follows:
40 minus patient’s age, plus burn wound
percentage, multiplied time 1 (if type A
burn injury), times 2 (if type AB burn
injury), and times 3 (if type B burn injury).
From 0 to 60 points: grade 1 (mild risk).
From 61 to 90 points: grade 2 (moderate
risk). From 91 to 120 points: grade 3 (severe
risk); over 121 points: grade 4 (critical risk).
5) Infection type: infections were defined as
per the American Burn Association (ABA)
criteria.2 Microbiological isolation had to be
present in all cases.
6) Length of hospital stay.

Outcome measures:
a) Primary: presence of bacteriologically
documented sepsis and/or infections in
the first seven days after the burn injury.
It was established within the first week to
reduce interference with hospital-acquired
infections.

b) Secondary: death within 30 days of
hospitalization. Death was considered
related to infection if it occurred in the
presence of positive culture tests and/or
clinical infection.

Statistical analysis: A descriptive analysis
was performed. Quantitative variables were
expressed as median (mdn) and interquartile
range (r). Categorical variables were expressed as
percentages. Contingency tables were prepared,
sensitivity, specificity and likelihood ratios (LR) of
CRP and PCT values were calculated for the first sample to predict sepsis or other bacteriologically documented infection and 30-day mortality. Statistical analyses were conducted using the SPSS software for Windows, version 11.5.

RESULTS
Forty-eight patients were included. Table 1 shows population characteristics at the time of study inclusion. It can be observed that this was a cohort of pediatric burn patients with severe involvement, based on the burned surface area, burn wound depth and Garces’ index.

Infection was confirmed in the cultures of 32 p (66.7%); burn-related sepsis was the most common infection (24 p, 75%), followed by burn wound infection (6 p, 19%). One patient had a catheter-associated urinary tract infection (3%) and one (3%) was diagnosed with pneumonia. The median length of stay in the hospital was 29.5 days (r: 15-52).

The prevalence of documented infection was 66.7%, while the mortality incidence was 17%, with 8 p who died as a result of infection.

1. CRP: The median level at the time of admission was 96.7 mg/L (r: 37,10-132,2). Sensitivity reached 100%, but it was not possible to establish specificity and likelihood ratios because this marker was high in all patients, regardless of the presence of infection or subsequent death (Table 2, a and b).

2. PCT: The median PCT level at the time of admission was 1.97 ng/mL (r: 1.15-7). Sensitivity for infection was 90.6% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 75.8-96.8), while specificity was 18.8% (95% CI: 6.6-43.0), LR+ was 1.12 (95% CI: 0.86-1.45) and LR- was 0.5. In relation to mortality, the LR+ was 1.18, while the LR- was 0 (Table 3, a and b).

DISCUSSION
Severe burn wounds induce a state of immunosuppression that predisposes patients to infectious complications. Burn patients is the most comprehensive and complex model of the inflammatory process, where all inflammation mediators are present with disruption of homeostasis and multiple organ failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, months old</td>
<td>M 29 (r: 17-75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>60% (n: 29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burned surface area</td>
<td>md 40% (r: 30-48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB or B depth</td>
<td>58% (n: 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garces’ index</td>
<td>md 4 (r: 1-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M: months old.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
r: range.        |
| md: median.     |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>Infected</th>
<th>Not infected</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>Dead</th>
<th>Alive</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>Infected</th>
<th>Not infected</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity 95% confidence interval
CRP: C-reactive protein.
LR+: positive likelihood ratio.
LR-: negative likelihood ratio.

Sensitivity 95% confidence interval
CRP: C-reactive protein.
LR+: positive likelihood ratio.
LR-: negative likelihood ratio.
dysfunction. Burn patients are chronically exposed to inflammatory mediators and develop a systemic inflammatory response syndrome with signs and symptoms similar to sepsis, therefore rendering the differential diagnosis difficult. Burn patients with no infection may even develop fever or hypothermia, tachypnea, tachycardia, leukopenia or leukocytosis as part of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome. This leads to an inadequate antibiotic use, which in turn translates into increased antibiotic resistance and hospital costs.

When a burn injury occurs, a systemic inflammatory response takes place due to the release of cytokines, prostaglandins and oxygen radicals from the burn site. The burn wound increases capillary permeability and interstitial fluid extravasation occurs. When the burned surface area is larger than 40%, myocardial depression and secondary shock may also take place. In patients with major burn wounds, there is usually hypermetabolism, which increases protein catabolism. All these mediators are responsible for the systemic inflammatory response syndrome. Septic patients experience multiple physiological changes, e.g., fever and increased fluid requirements, as well as liver, kidney and lung failure. To this date, no laboratory test has proven to be reliable enough to distinguish between an inflammatory response typical of a burn wound and sepsis.

In a study conducted by Lobo, et al., it was established that severe patients who have high levels of CRP at the time of admission to the intensive care unit required a prolonged stay and had a higher mortality rate. Those patients whose CRP continued elevated at 48 hours had a significantly higher mortality than those whose levels reduced. Burn patients were considered a subgroup in this study population. Other series of burn patients showed that high CRP levels were correlated to a higher mortality risk, while persistently high levels were related to a worse clinical course.

Housinger, et al. studied post-burn changes in physiological parameters, such as white blood cell count, platelet count, temperature and fluid requirements. They concluded that a reduction in platelet count to less than 100,000/mm$^3$ predicts pulmonary, blood and cardiovascular changes associated with sepsis. Other authors suggest that changes in CRP levels measured every day are useful to predict sepsis even earlier than a platelet count. In our series, all patients had increased CRP, regardless of the confirmation of sepsis and/or other infections, which remained high in all protocol measurements. Given that this prevalence reached 66.6%, it is obvious that the PPV (62.5%) of CRP was of no clinical utility. As in our series, Jeschke, et al. concluded that CRP is not an adequate infection or sepsis marker and only reflects the inflammatory mechanisms triggered by thermal injuries.

Procalcitonin is the precursor peptide of calcitonin and is released at any body site in response to bacterial toxins and specific bacterial proinflammatory mediators, such as interleukin-1β, tumor necrosis factor and interleukin. Persistent high values are associated with the presence of a bacterial infection. Procalcitonin high negative predictive value may allow to
exclude antibiotic use and decide on antibiotic therapy maintenance, discontinuation or modification in critical patients. However, in certain situations (newborn infants, patients with multisystem trauma, burn wounds, major surgery or severe cardiogenic shock), a high CRP may occur regardless of any infection attack.

In the study by Barati, et al. it was observed that PCT levels were significantly higher in burn patients with systemic infections than in burn patients with no infection. Values higher than 0.5 ng/mL showed a 100% sensitivity and a 83.3% specificity to diagnose infections, so they concluded that PCT is highly predictive of infection. In our series, all patients except six (three with infection and three with no infection) had a PCT level >0.5 ng/mL; therefore, sensitivity and specificity in this study were not comparable to that of Barati, et al.

Lavrentieva, et al. indicated that the significance of PCT in the diagnosis of infectious complications in burn patients is controversial, mostly due to the inconsistent definitions of sepsis among the different studies. Although in their series high PCT values had certain prognostic value for infectious complications and for monitoring treatment, they concluded that more studies are required to assess whether mortality and costs would be reduced if this biomarker was used for the early detection of infections. Seoane, et al. suggested that, in adult burn patients, PCT may not be an accurate sepsis marker, and this is similar to what has been observed in our pediatric series. Mann, et al. made a systematic review of the literature and concluded that, although PCT may be useful to diagnose sepsis in burn patients, there is inconsistency in the findings of certain studies because varying definitions of sepsis were used and the cost of this method may restrict its availability. Most studies were conducted in adult populations. In the aforementioned systematic review, Neely, et al. concluded, based on 20 pediatric patients, that PCT was not as effective for the early diagnosis of sepsis as a platelet count and a CRP serial measurement. PCT sensitivity was 42%, and its specificity, 67%.

In spite of the advances made in the management of burn patients, mortality is still high. In our series, mortality reached 15% and, in all cases, was related to the presence of infection. Neither PCT nor CRP levels were helpful to identify patients with a higher risk of death. The almost null specificity of the test in relation to this endpoint renders it empirically inapplicable, as observed in Baratti, et al.’s study but inconsistent with the findings of Lobo, et al. in critically ill patients, where burn subjects were considered a subgroup.

Given the high prevalence of infections in these patients, non-optimal predictive tests result of little clinical utility because they cannot substantially modify the early probability of occurrence. In these situations (high prevalence), more specific tests are required, as opposed to sensitive tests (it is more important to rule out the endpoint than to confirm its presence since, given its high frequency, it is likely to be present). Based on the high prevalence of this event and the inaccuracy of the test, it would be advisable to provide empirical therapy if infections and/or sepsis are suspected, because incorrectly ruling them out would put patients at a higher risk. For a major endpoint such as the presence of infection, it is not advisable to use the CRP test, which has a 23.5% rate of estimated false negative results (one in four patients with a negative CRP for infection will develop an infection). In addition, all patients who died had a high CRP, but none of those who survived had normal values. PCT did not show a useful operative capability either, since it did not successfully identify those who would not develop an infection (it predicted only one in six) or those who would die (it predicted only one in seven).

One of the limitations of the study is that it was conducted in patients hospitalized in a large referral center for major burn patients, so these results may not be extrapolated to less severe burn wounds. It is assumed that, in patients with major burn wounds, an excessive inflammatory response to thermal injuries is the cause of positive biomarkers, regardless of infection, 30-day survival or mortality, which may mask its operative capability in patients with less severe burn wounds. It should be noted that, although this was a relatively small series to make highly powerful statistical conclusions, as per our knowledge, it is the largest series published so far on this issue.

CONCLUSIONS

The tests assessed in this study did not show an adequate operative capability in patients with severe burn wounds so as to promote their clinical application to detect which patients will develop an infection or a fatal outcome.
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