Altered perception of the nutritional status of preschoolers by their parents: A risk factor for overweight and obesity
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ABSTRACT
Introduction. Many parents have a misperception of their children’s body size; in general, they underestimate overweight and obesity.
Objective. To identify the difference between parents’ perception of their children’s nutritional status and measured body mass index.
Population and methods. An analytical, descriptive and cross-sectional study was conducted among parents of children aged 2 to 6 years old. Children’s body mass index was measured, and parents’ perception was assessed using a visual scale of body size pictograms (drawings of body figures equivalent to body mass index percentiles).
Results. A total of 605 children and their parents were assessed. Seventy-four (12.2%) were overweight and 87 were obese (14.3%). There were 161 overweight or obese children, but 98.8% (159) of parents underestimated their children’s nutritional status. Parents’ underperception of their children’s body size accounted for an OR = 2.1 ± 0.5, p = 0.002 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.32-3.32) for obesity and an OR = 4.42 ± 1.2, p < 0.001 (95% CI: 2.631-7.439) for overweight.
Conclusions. Among parents of overweight and obese children, 98.8% (159) underestimated their children’s weight status. Such underestimation by parents may be a significant risk factor for the development and/or persistence of overweight and obesity in their children.
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INTRODUCTION
Childhood obesity is a global health problem. The worldwide overweight prevalence among preschoolers increased from 4.2% in 1990 to 6.7% in 2010.1 According to the National Survey on Nutrition and Health conducted in Mexico, overweight and obesity prevalence has increased among 5 year olds from 7.8% to 9.8% for obesity and from 18.8% to 23.8% for overweight.2 Childhood overweight and obesity may predispose to the development of diabetes or cardiovascular disease at an earlier age.3 Childhood overweight accounts for 25% of adult obesity, and becomes persistent at this age.4

Some studies explored parents’ perception of their children’s appearance and weight using standardized visual scales.5,6 However, a meta-analysis of parents’ perception of childhood obesity indicates that parents are prone to have a misperception of their child’s height to weight ratio, especially those who are overweight themselves.7

Some studies suggest that parents fail to recognize their children’s overweight because of their own weight, the child’s younger age and gender, among other factors.8 In the low socioeconomic level group, many mothers see the fat child stereotype as healthier and happier versus the thin child, who is perceived as sickly.9 Jeffery et al.10 pointed out that obese parents tended to underestimate overweight and obesity in their children. Lampard et al.11 described that parents reported a higher concern about their children’s body mass index (BMI) than their figure and worse quality of life.

OBJECTIVE
To identify the difference between parents’ perception of their children’s nutritional status and measured BMI.

POPULATION AND METHODS
An observational, analytical and cross-sectional study was conducted between June 2013 and July 2014 in children aged 2 to 6 years old and their parents. The perception of
children’s nutritional status was assessed using a seven body size pictogram. Children’s weight, height and BMI were recorded. Children’s BMI was compared to the nutritional status perceived by their parents using pictograms (drawing of body figures equivalent to BMI percentiles).

Participants
The study population included children aged between 2 and 6 years old and their parents. Participating children and parents attended either a children development center in San Nicolás de los Garza or either of two preschools in Santa Catarina, all located in the metropolitan area of Monterrey, Nuevo León, Mexico. The sample size was established based on childhood overweight and obesity prevalence in Mexico. The sample size was estimated using the formula to calculate a proportion in a finite population. It was 234 subjects for obesity and 480 subjects for overweight. In order to include both overweight and obesity cases, the sample was established at 480 subjects, with an additional 25% (120 subjects) to account for incomplete data or dropouts. The final sample size was 600 subjects.

Inclusion criteria
Two to six year old children attending the study-selected childhood development centers whose parents had signed the informed consent form for participation.

Exclusion criteria
Subjects with other medical conditions having an effect on their weight (steroid use, hypothyroidism, chromosome abnormalities).

Ethical aspects
Parents signed an informed consent form and agreed to complete questionnaires and an assessment on their children so that the resulting information could be used in the study. Based on article 17 of the Mexican General Health Law in relation to Health Research, this study was classified as a no-risk investigation, so it was conducted once the institution granted its approval.

Anthropometry
Weight and height were measured, and BMI was calculated by dividing weight by the square of height. Height was measured in meters using a retractable tape measure, with the subject standing upright and looking straight ahead. Weight was measured in kilograms using a Tanita BC 689 scale; measurements were done at any time of the day, with the subject standing and barefoot. Once BMI was estimated, BMI percentile was determined based on BMI curves established by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).12 BMI was classified as overweight or obesity based on the CDC’s reference tables adjusted for sex and age.12 Overweight was defined as a BMI between 85% and 94%, while obesity was defined as a BMI ≥95%.

Seven body size pictograms
To establish nutritional status as perceived by parents, a visual scale (pictogram) validated for 6 to 12 year-old children was used. The scale was made up of seven body sizes that accounted for physical appearance based on gender (boys and girls).13 Body figures corresponded to the 5th, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 85th and 95th BMI percentiles according to the CDC’s tables. Printed drawings of male and female body sizes were provided to parents. Fathers or mothers had to choose the drawing that, in their opinion, represented their children’s body size. The chosen body size was compared to the measured BMI to establish if the perceived nutritional status was consistent with the corresponding BMI.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics (average and standard deviation or percentage) were used to describe the study outcome measures. A logistic regression analysis was used to determine the difference between children’s nutritional status as perceived by parents using pictograms and the children’s height to weight ratio. An 0.05 or lower alpha error was considered significant. Sensitivity and specificity were analyzed using prevalence values reported in Mexico: 9.8% for obesity and 23.8% for overweight in children younger than 5 years old. Sensitivity was defined as the correct perception of overweight and obesity (true positives/overweight/obesity). Specificity was defined as the correct perception of normal weight (true negatives/normal weight). Statistical analysis was done using the STATA 10 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS
A total of 605 children aged between 2 and 6 years old (314 boys, 51.9%) were assessed. Their average age was 4.2 ± 1.2 years old. Among the total population, overweight and obesity prevalence was 12.2% and 14.3%, respectively.
Among boys, 243 (77.4%) had a low or normal weight, 26 (8.3%) were overweight and 45 (14.3%), obese. Among girls, 201 (69%) had a low or normal weight, 48 (16.5%) were overweight and 42 (14.5%), obese. Parents’ perception of body mass index was lower than that measured for 32.5% of boys and for 36.8% of girls (Table 1).

A distorted perception of pictograms, i.e. parents’ perceiving that their children’s nutritional status was thinner than their actual normal BMI (>85th percentile), corresponded to 18.5% of boys and 10.9% of girls. Among overweight children (BMI ≥85th and <95th percentile), it corresponded to 100% of boys and girls; and among obese children (BMI ≥95th percentile), it corresponded to 95.6% of boys and 100% of girls (Table 1).

Out of 605 children, 161 (26%) were overweight or obese. Of these, 2 (1.2%) were adequately perceived by their parents, while the parents of 159 (97.7%) had a misperception of their children’s body image. Table 1 shows parents’ perception of their children’s BMI based on the body size pictograms for overweight and obese children groups.

Regarding the obesity outcome measure, the fact that parents underestimated body size compared to measured BMI accounted for an odds ratio (OR) of 2.1, standard error (SE) = 0.49, p = 0.002 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.32-3.32). However, perceiving a body size that was thinner than the measured BMI was associated with a risk for overweight: OR = 4.42, SE = 1.17, p < 0.001 (95% CI: 2.631-7.439). OR values by gender for overweight and obesity are shown in Table 2.

### Table 1. Parents’ perception of their children’s body size using pictograms


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (percentiles)</th>
<th>Total no. of cases</th>
<th>P5</th>
<th>P10</th>
<th>P25</th>
<th>P50</th>
<th>P75</th>
<th>P85</th>
<th>P95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P25</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P75</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P95</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;P95</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The white area indicates that parents underestimated their children’s body size in comparison to their BMI. The black area indicates that parents perceived that their children’s body size was consistent with their BMI. The gray area indicates that parents perceived that their children’s body size was larger in comparison to their BMI. For overweight, sensitivity was 67.6% and specificity was 68.0%. For obesity, sensitivity was 51.7% and specificity was 66.2%.

BMI: body mass index.
Sensitivity of parents’ perception to detect obesity was 51.7% (95% CI: 40.8-62.6), while specificity was 66.2% (95% CI: 62-70.3), with a positive predictive value (PPV) of 14.3% and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 92.7%. In the case of obesity, sensitivity was 67.6% (95% CI: 55.7-78), while specificity was 68% (95% CI: 63.8-71.9), with a PPV of 18.7% and a NPV of 95.1%.

**DISCUSSION**

Obesity prevalence in our population was 14.3%, higher than that reported in the literature. In the group of overweight or obese children, only 2 (1.2%) were correctly perceived as overweight and obese by their parents, while 159 (98.8%) were perceived by their parents as having a normal weight, therefore underestimating their height to weight ratio.

A possible cause of parents’ misperception regarding their children’s height to weight ratio may be the global increase in obesity prevalence, which makes a larger body size to be perceived as normal by the general population, in concordance with Maximova et al.’s reports.14

Results obtained are consistent with those described by other investigations, which report that over 50% of parents whose children are overweight or obese perceive their nutritional status as lower than that measured. In Australia, 88% and 90% of mothers of overweight and obese children, respectively, underestimated their children’s weight status.15 While in Canada, a study comparing parents’ and doctors’ perception in relation to their children’s weight described that 47% of parents underestimated their children’s weight status.16 In Mexico, it has been reported that more than 79% of parents of overweight or obese children underestimated their children’s weight status;9 in addition, 83% of mothers of overweight or obese children underestimated their children’s weight.17-19

It is worth noting that for 224 (50%) boys and girls with a BMI <85 percentile, the pictogram was overestimated in comparison to their measured BMI, while this did not occur for any of the overweight or obese children.

Our study results are relevant in our setting because most studies on this topic have been conducted in developed countries.

Cultural and economic differences may affect parents’ perception, so it is difficult to make a direct comparison to those results.

For example, in the study by Hudson, among normal weight children, 6.3% of boys and 2.5% of girls were incorrectly perceived by their parents, but among overweight and obese children, 83.3% of boys and 79.9% of girls were incorrectly categorized by their parents as having a normal weight according to their height and age.8 Souto et al.9 reported that up to 43% of mothers of 6-24 month old infants with a low socioeconomic level underestimated their children’s weight status.

Data described in a systematic review on the differences between parents’ perception and children’s measured weight were country, place, number of children included, male to female ratio, which parent provided the information, and tables used to categorize BMI.20 This study included all these data, but did not differentiate whether fathers or mothers had provided the information. Parents’ perception of their children’s height to weight ratio was assessed using a visual tool for each gender because other studies using visual scales showed that a higher percentage of overweight and obese children were correctly perceived by their parents compared to those using verbal questionnaires.20 However, the visual scale used in this study has only been validated for 6 to 12 year olds, not for younger children.13

There are other risk factors leading to parents’ underestimating their children’s weight to height.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Risk estimation resulting from parents’ underperception of measured overweight and obesity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male gender (n = 314)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of overweight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR: odds ratio; SE: standard error; CI: confidence interval.
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CONCLUSION

Among parents of overweight or obese children, 98.8% underestimated their children's nutritional status when using pictograms. A misperception of a child's measured height to weight ratio by parents may be a significant risk factor for the development and/or maintenance of overweight and obesity.
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