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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The Car, Relax, Alone, Forget, Family and Friends, Trouble (CRAFFT) questionnaire is an instrument used in the screening for problematic alcohol and substance use, abuse or dependence in adolescents. While there is a Spanish-adapted and validated version for Argentine adolescents (CRAFFTa), it cannot be applied indiscriminately because it has not been assessed in different sociocultural settings. Objective: To assess the transferability of the CRAFFTa as a screening tool for problematic alcohol and drug use, abuse or dependence in an adolescent population of low socio-economic level.

Population and methods: An anonymous survey was administered to low-income adolescents living in Greater Buenos Aires and attending two secondary schools. The Spanish-adapted version of the CRAFFT questionnaire, validated for its use in Argentina, was administered, while the Problem Oriented Screening Instrument for Teenagers, substance use and abuse subscale (POSITuas) questionnaire was used as a reference test.

Results: A total of 146 questionnaires were completed (completion rate: 89.6%). Reliability was 0.681; criterion validity: 0.697; sensitivity: 93.4; specificity: 72.5; precision: 0.886; positive predictive value: 0.898; negative predictive value: 0.966. Compound reliability was 0.872; average variance extracted: 0.718. Convergent validity was acceptable in the confirmatory factor analysis, and a single-factor structure was used.

Conclusions: The operating characteristics of the CRAFFTa questionnaire are acceptable for its use in the screening for problematic alcohol and substance use, abuse or dependence in a low-income adolescent population.
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INTRODUCTION

The initiation of psychoactive substance use during adolescence is associated with a higher risk for persistent substance use, abuse, dependence, and other comorbid disorders at a later stage in life.1,2

The most commonly used drugs among 14 to 18-year-old students include alcohol, tobacco, cannabis, and tranquilizers or sleeping pills.3–6

In Argentina, in 2014, approximately 70 % of adolescents reported that they had used alcohol at least once, whereas 67 %, 36 %, and 16 % said they had tried energy drinks, tobacco, and marihuana, respectively.7

It has been documented that using structured screening tools during primary health care visits improves the detection of substance use problems in adolescents.8

The Car, Relax, Alone, Forget, Family and Friends, Trouble (CRAFFT) questionnaire is used to assess the risk for problematic alcohol and substance use, abuse or dependence in adolescents. Its acronym stands for the 6 key words that make up the questionnaire. The instrument was translated and adapted into Spanish in Argentina,9 and its performance was correlated to another instrument, the Problem Oriented Screening Instrument for Teenagers, substance use and abuse subscale (POSITuas).10–13

However, given that the sample included in the validation study was representative of the health sub-systems because participants had union or private health insurance plans and a high level of education...
compared to the national average, it is unknown whether the Argentina version (CRAFFTa) maintains its psychometric properties in different sociocultural settings.

The objective of this study was to assess the transferability of the CRAFFTa as a screening tool for problematic alcohol and drug use in an adolescent population of low socio-economic level.

**POPULATION AND METHODS**

We conducted an observational, cross-sectional, analytical study.

The target population corresponded to adolescents aged 11-19 years attending two secondary schools in the city of Boulogne Sur Mer, district of San Isidro, province of Buenos Aires, in November 2016. Individuals who had a diagnosis of developmental delay, mental disability or psychiatric disorder that undermined their autonomy to voluntarily decide to participate in the study were excluded.

Although the Family and Community Medicine Center San Pantaleón covered 4 secondary schools, only those where the health team conducted community outreach activities for the promotion and prevention of health aimed at children and adolescents were selected, and the respective education authorities were asked for their approval.

The transferability of the CRAFFTa in the studied population was assessed using the POSITuas as a reference test (Annexes 1 and 2) (Spanish version).10 In both cases, a score of 1 was assigned to each “yes” answer, and 0 to each “no” answer. Individuals were considered to have a risk for problematic substance use, abuse or dependence if they scored a total of 2 or more, respecting the cut-off point established by the original authors of both instruments.

For data collection, all students who attended each school on a specific, arbitrarily selected date during the corresponding school year were invited to participate. The physicians of the research team went into the classrooms during school hours and delivered the printed tools in an opaque envelope to each participant, after obtaining their oral informed consent. In order to warrant participants’ confidentiality, privacy, and autonomy, and in agreement with the Convention on the Rights of the Child, National Law N.° 26,061 for the Comprehensive Protection of Children and Adolescents, and National Law N.° 26,529 for Patient Rights, Medical Records, and Informed Consents, questionnaires were completed in an anonymous manner and leaving out identifying data. In accordance with guideline 17 of the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS),14 parents’ authorization for study participation was waived; the study protocol was approved by the Protocol Ethics Committee of Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires on July 28th, 2016 (number 2863).

Reliability (KR-20 coefficient),15 external criterion validity (Pearson correlation),16 sensitivity and specificity, precision (Receiver Operating Characteristic [ROC] curve), negative predictive value (NPV) and positive predictive value (PPV) were assessed (considering a 72.2% prevalence of alcohol use, as reported by the national government for the province of Buenos Aires),17 using the GNU PSPP software and comparing each participant’s answers to both questionnaires.

In addition, the construct validity was assessed using a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), which estimated compound reliability and average variance extracted as expressions of reliability, and convergent validity, for which the answers to the CRAFFTa questionnaire were used. This analysis was done using the Mplus software, based on a single-factor structure18-21 and the weighted least squares means and variance adjusted (WLSMV) estimator for dichotomous outcome measures.22

Participants were selected by consecutive sampling. According to the formula proposed by Jöreskog,23 [(k + 1)(k + 2)]/2, where k means the number of indicators, 28 observations were necessary to establish an asymptotic covariance matrix and perform the CFA of the 6 questionnaire items. However, for conservative purposes and considering the most recent bibliography,22 a sample size of at least 150 surveys was proposed.

**RESULTS**

Out of 270 students enrolled in the schools where the study was conducted, 163 adolescents were present in their classrooms and were invited to participate. After excluding cases who had left at least one question unanswered, 151 valid CRAFFTa questionnaires were obtained for the CFA, together with 146 valid CRAFFTa and POSITuas questionnaire pairs for the analysis of the rest of the psychometric properties (completion rate: 89.6%). A score of 2 or more was obtained by 43% (63) (95% confidence interval [CI]: 20-35) of adolescents in the CRAFFTa, and by 27% (40) (95% CI: 35-52) in the POSITuas.
The reliability of the CRAFFTα, as measured by the KR-20 coefficient, was 0.681, while its criterion validity was 0.697. Compared to the POSITuas, the instrument’s sensitivity was 93.4 %, and its specificity, 72.5 %, with a precision of 0.886, given by the ROC curve (95 % CI: 0.818-0.954). The PPV was 0.898 and the NPV, 0.966.

The results of overall fit indices of the CFA were adequate (see Table 1). The compound reliability was 0.872 (recommended: higher than 0.7) and the average variance extracted, 0.718 (recommended: higher than 0.5). The convergent validity was acceptable because 5 standardized factor loadings showed values higher than recommended (higher than 0.5 and, ideally, higher than 0.7), and only 1 was within the recommended limit, with a 0.47 value. All factor loadings were statistically significant (p < 0.00001) (Figure 1).

### DISCUSSION
This study tested the transferability of the CRAFFTα questionnaire in adolescents who attended school in a low socio-economic neighborhood in Greater Buenos Aires.

Comparing answers to the POSITuas questionnaire, the CRAFFTα showed an acceptable external criterion validity (0.697) and an adequate precision (0.886).

Likewise, it demonstrated an adequate performance in its operating characteristics. The sensitivity was 93.4 %, which was higher than that reported by the validation studies for the original and reference instrument (76 % and 59 %, respectively). Although specificity was 72.5 %, which was slightly lower than that obtained in other studies (94 % and 88 %, respectively), and considering the purpose of the instrument, the values observed here were deemed adequate and supported its use as a screening test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Overall fit indices of confirmatory factor analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desirable values for indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values obtained with the WLSMV method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value of the $\chi^2$ test.

RMSEA: root mean square error of approximation; CFI: comparative fit index; TLI: Tucker-Lewis index; WRMR: weighted root mean square residual; WLSMV: weighted least squares means and variance adjusted method.

**Figure 1.** Confirmatory factor analysis. It describes the standardized factor loadings using the weighted least square means and variance adjusted method.
In addition, considering the setting of a high prevalence of psychoactive substance use where our study was conducted, the PPV (0.898) and NPV (0.966) were excellent. It is worth noting that, although it would have been ideal to use the prevalence of alcohol or marihuana or other drug use to establish the instrument’s predictive values, such combined information was not available because the official numbers were reported for each substance separately. The prevalence of alcohol use was applied for the analysis because it was the one that showed more indicators of use among adolescents.

In relation to the reliability of the CRAFFTa, the estimations obtained with both methods showed adequate values (0.681 for the KR-20 coefficient; 0.872 for the compound reliability, and 0.718 for the average variance extracted in the CFA). Prior studies had documented an internal consistency using Cronbach’s alpha (varying between 0.64 and 0.74) or the KR-20 coefficient (0.74). In addition, the successful CFA results were consistent with those carried out by previous authors, thus confirming the instrument’s single-factor structure.

A possible limitation of this study is that data were collected from self-reports, which may not reflect actual substance use by survey respondents and may result in an information bias because of fear to punishment or reprimand about the behavior under study. For this reason, anonymity of answers was warranted by using closed, opaque envelopes and leaving out all identifying data and, in accordance with the CIOMS guidelines, parents’ authorization was waived, thus safeguarding participants from the possibility of being questioned or intimidated. Prior studies also documented that self-reported alcohol and/or psychoactive substance use was a reliable measure in clinical and school settings.

One of the strengths of this study was that the sample of adolescents was obtained in the school setting because that is where public and primary health care actions are planned in the framework of primary care practice aimed at the community.

In addition, and given that the instrument’s validity had been tested in Argentina in adolescents from a middle- and high-socioeconomic level, the results of this study support its use as a screening instrument also in primary health care centers (PHCCs) located in low-resource communities, which will allow for the detection of cases that require individualized interventions.

CONCLUSION

The psychometric properties of the CRAFFTa questionnaire are acceptable to support the use of this instrument in the screening for problematic alcohol and substance use in the adolescent population with a low socio-economic level.
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ANNEX 1.
CUESTIONARIO DE PESQUISA DE PROBLEMAS EN ADOLESCENTES (POSITuas)

El propósito de estas preguntas es ayudarnos a conocer la mejor manera de ayudarte. Por esto, trata de responderlas con franqueza.

Este no es un examen; no hay respuestas correctas o incorrectas. Todas las respuestas serán confidenciales.

Contesta todas las preguntas. Si alguna de ellas no se aplica exactamente a ti, escoge la respuesta que más se acerque a la verdad en tu caso. Por favor, marca una “X” sobre tu respuesta.

Si no comprendes alguna palabra, pide ayuda a la persona encargada.

¡Gracias!

1. ¿Te metes en problemas porque consumes drogas o bebidas alcohólicas en la escuela? Sí_ No_
2. ¿Te has hecho daño o le has hecho daño a otra persona accidentalmente estando bajo los efectos del alcohol o de drogas? Sí_ No_
3. ¿A veces, no puedes participar en actividades porque te has gastado el dinero en drogas o bebidas alcohólicas? Sí_ No_
4. ¿Sientes, a veces, que eres adicto(a) al alcohol o las drogas? Sí_ No_
5. ¿Has comenzado a consumir mayor cantidad de alcohol o drogas para obtener el efecto que deseabas? Sí_ No_
6. ¿Te vas, a veces, de alguna fiesta porque no hay bebidas alcohólicas o drogas? Sí_ No_
7. ¿Sientes un deseo constante de consumir bebidas alcohólicas o drogas? Sí_ No_
8. ¿Has tenido un accidente automovilístico estando bajo el efecto del alcohol o de drogas? Sí_ No_
9. ¿Se te olvidan las cosas que hiciste mientras estabas consumiendo alcohol o drogas? Sí_ No_
10. Durante el mes pasado, ¿has manejado un automóvil estando borracho(a) o drogado(a)? Sí_ No_
11. ¿El uso de alcohol o drogas te produce cambios repentinos de humor, como pasar de estar contento(a) a estar triste o viceversa? Sí_ No_
12. ¿Pierdes días de clase o llegas tarde a la escuela por haber consumido bebidas alcohólicas o drogas? Sí_ No_
13. ¿Te han dicho tus familiares o amigos que debes reducir el uso de bebidas alcohólicas o drogas? Sí_ No_
14. ¿Tienes discusiones serias con tus amigos o con miembros de tu familia por el uso que haces de bebidas alcohólicas o drogas? Sí_ No_
15. Cuando consumes bebidas alcohólicas o drogas, ¿tiendes a hacer cosas que normalmente no harías, tales como desobedecer reglas, violar leyes o llegar tarde a casa? Sí_ No_
16. ¿Tienes dificultades en tus relaciones con alguno de tus amigos debido a las bebidas alcohólicas o drogas que consumes? Sí_ No_
17. ¿Sientes que, a veces, no puedes controlar el deseo de consumir bebidas alcohólicas o drogas? Sí_ No_

Cada respuesta afirmativa suma un punto. Se considera en riesgo de uso problemático, abuso o dependencia de alcohol o drogas un total de dos puntos o más.
ANNEX 2.
VERSIÓN ARGENTINA DEL CUESTIONARIO CRAAFT: CRAAFTa

PARTE A
Durante los últimos 12 meses:
1. ¿Has consumido bebidas alcohólicas (más de unos pocos sorbos)? Sí_ No_
2. ¿Has fumado marihuana? Sí_ No_
3. ¿Has usado algún otro tipo de sustancias que alteren tu estado de ánimo o de conciencia?* Sí_ No_

* El término “algún otro tipo” se refiere a drogas ilícitas, medicamentos de venta libre o de venta con receta médica, así como a sustancias inhalables que alteren tu estado mental. (Si respondiste “Sí” a CUALQUIERA de las anteriores 3 preguntas, pasa a las preguntas B1-B6).

PARTE B
1. ¿Alguna vez, viajaste en un vehículo conducido por vos o otra persona que hubiera consumido alcohol o drogas? Sí_ No_
2. ¿Alguna vez, usaste alcohol o drogas para relajarte, sentirte mejor con vos mismo(a) o para integrarte a un grupo? Sí_ No_
3. ¿Alguna vez, consumiste alcohol o drogas mientras estabas solo(a)? Sí_ No_
4. ¿Alguna vez, te olvidaste de cosas que hiciste por haber consumido de alcohol o drogas? Sí_ No_
5. ¿Alguna vez, tu familia o amigos te dijeron que disminuyeras el consumo de alcohol o drogas? Sí_ No_
6. ¿Alguna vez, tuviste problemas por haber consumido alcohol o drogas? Sí_ No_

Cada respuesta afirmativa suma un punto. Se considera en riesgo de uso problemático, abuso o dependencia de alcohol o drogas un total de dos puntos o más.